

DOI Evolution Meeting notes

Date

24 Oct 2019

Attendees

- Nathan James, Elli Pauli Lalit Wanchoo
- ASDC - user-99280
- GES - Dana Ostrenga,
- ORNL - Daine Wright, Tammy Walker

Goals

Background

- Discuss ideas of DOI evolution system to help map out a path forward

Discussion items

Agenda

1. Work flows of DOI at the DAAC at a high level.
 - ORNL, ASDC, and GES DISC presented their workflow for handling DOIs and discussed the differences between them
 - ORNL -
 - Reviewed their workflow - [DOI_Registration_Process_at_ORNLDAAC.pptx](#)
 - Key point - Dataset landing page and DOI landing page are the same
 - ASDC
 - CAMP - science provider creates a product record
 - approve record
 - Workflow starts for directory
 - Science team put metadata in to record for testing
 - Make world visible - create LP and DOI registered as one of the data services for quality data product
 - **DOIs go to product Landing page for older satellite products**
 - **But for airborne data products - not necessarily the same**
 - **CAMP will be creating dynamic landing page**
 - decommissioning process - turning of LPs for DOI
 - **Using delete capability to clean up reserve DOIs**
 - **Some data products for complete reprocessing with TES being decommission**
 - **Diff from ORNL who starts the mission process when the data is available - so identifier is tied to the DOI-name - so opaque ID vs name that has meaning.**
 - Science team members like intelligent filenames
 - GES DISC
 - Reserve through DOI system prior to getting the data
 - Program creates opaque DOI and sends to PI to their mission dataset w shortname
 - Sometime they'd like intelligent DOI names
 - **Once reserved - create collection level metadata then dataset LP are created from CMR in collection-level metadata so you can have resolving LP but not created into dataset published to CMR (LPs created dynamically)**
 - DPS is SAuS like but moving to have metadata inside an internal tool.
 - Would like to have a more automated process like ORNL to the DOI - very manual right now.
 - When we deprecate or decommission, we update collection level metadata to capture prior version and update DOI to point to latest version.
 - Single-point of contact for DOIs for 35 missions - need more automation or more folks to reserve and register DOIs.
 - GES MISSION LEADS SHOULD HAVE DAAC BLESSING AND our system will allow submissions.
 - If not doing automated fashion, make sure you have page - through tool, PI could state how they want page formatted.
 - Each DAAC has some very unique and useful approaches to processing DOIs
 - Is there a way to combine the various processes to make one global, highly sophisticated method?
 - Concern is pushback from the scientists, depending on whether the data is opaque or non
2. What are some show-stoppers in adopting other DAACs DOI processes?
 - a. Opaque DOIs would be a game changer for ASDC - 6 new missions - 2 missions are not familiar with processes but 4 new ones (would be easier to get them on board)
 - b. GES does both ways so they RESERVE ahead of time so DOIs can be available ahead of time for publishing research.
 - c. ORNL Question for Lalit - metrics pulled from DOI database or DataCite - Ans: Database - Have you compared with DataCite metrics? Not yet.
 - d. ORNL - Different DAACs do the same thing at different points in the process - need some sort of reservation process early on with unique DOI string.
3. Can EarthdataPub be used to automate some this process?
 - DOI are not part of EDP and is not a requirement

- However, it does support modules such that DOIs can be plugged into the Framework
 - ORNL: Common DOI Registration tool could be used outside of EDPub
4. Anything from each other's process that might cause an issue for anyone?
- Appears they all do the same thing, just at different points in the process - so - no